



## UNITED STORES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVI	ENTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/813,647	03/07/9	77 HENDEL		Α	082225.P2170
_			¬ · [	EXAMINER	
		TM02/0928 LOR AND ZAFMAN	_	VII. T	
	HIRE BOULE	VARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELE	S CA 90025	i		2152	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

09/28/01

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/813,647

Hendel et al

Examiner

Art Unit



		Thong Vu	2152	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address	
A SHC	or <b>Reply</b> ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	H(S) FROM	
- Extense after after be - If NO con - Failure	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cler SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	ation.  , a reply within the statutory minimur  period will apply and will expire SIX (  statute, cause the application to bec	m of thirty (30) days will  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
- Any re ear Status	eply received by the Office later than three months after the ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e mailing date of this communication,	even if timely filed, may reduce any	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 9, 20	001	·	
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.		
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u>			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	re withdrawn from consideration.	
5)□	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u>		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.	
Applicat	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		_	
	The proposed drawing correction filed on		b)□ disapproved.	
12)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
13)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p $All\ b)\Box$ Some* c) $\Box$ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d).	
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n this National Stage	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	)(e).	
Attachme	ent(s)			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape	or No(s).	
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) 🔲 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:		

Art Unit: 2152

1. This office action is in response to the Request for Reconsideration filed 4/09/2001.

Claims 1-41 are pending. The rejections cited are as stated below.

- 2. The applicant arguments filed 4/09/2001 have been fully considered but they are most to new ground of rejection.
- 3. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Hardwick et al [Hardwick 5,550,816] in view of Yu et al [6,064,671]
- 4. As per claim 1, Hardwick discloses the invention substantially as claimed, a network device, comprising a first port that connects to a first interface; a second port that connects to a second interface [col 6 line 38-col 11 lines 63]; Hardwick also discloses very high speed link [col 3 lines 15-23] and an associated identifier that identifies the connection between said first and second ports [col 35 lines 49-62]

However Barrett did not detail a trunking pseudo driver, coupled to the first port and the second port, that allows the first interface and second interface to emulate a single high-speed device by assigning to said first and second interfaces an associated identifier that identifies the connection between said first and second ports. A skilled artisan would looked to implement the virtual switch by application program and found the Yu teaching.

Yu discloses a virtual network mechanism to access well known port application program on network [Yu, abstract] using a pseudo device driver which is to be emulated by the host system [Yu col 8 lines 35-50]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the application program such as pseudo device driver as taught by Baker into the Hardwick's system in order to provide the virtual switch an efficiency tool to emulate a single high speed assigned to the virtual link between the

Application Number: 08/994836

Art Unit: 2152

source and destination device on the network

- 5. As per claim 33, Hardwick-Yu disclose the trunking pseudo driver comprises a load balancing unit that selects one of the first and second interfaces to transmit a packet of data as an inherent feature of pseudo driver [Yu col 8 lines 35-50].
- 6. As per claim 34, Hardwick-Yu disclose the trunking pseudo driver comprises an identification unit that assigns a first identifier to the first interface and the second interface that identifies a path between the first and the second device as an inherent feature of pseudo driver [Yu col 8 lines 35-50].
- 7. As per claim 35, Hardwick-Yu disclose the first and second interface are homogeneous as a design choice of LAN.
- 8. As per claim 36 Hardwick-Yu disclose the network device is an end-node or destination node [Hardwick col 25 lines 25-54].
- 9. As per claim 37, Hardwick-Yu disclose the network device is a switch [Hardwick col 6 line 38-col 11 lines 63]
- 10. As per claims 1,14,19,24,38-41 contain the similar limitations set forth in method claim
  32. Therefore, claims 1,14,19,24,38-41 are rejected for the same rationale set forth claim 32.
- 11. As per claims 2-4, Hardwick-Yu disclose the step of select one of the plurality of interfaces to send the packet as inherent feature of virtual switch [Hardwick col 7 lines 1-25]
- 12. As per claims 5,13 Hardwick-Yu disclose transmitting a first packet of data on only one of the plurality of interfaces as the inherent feature of select the first interface [Hardwick col 7 lines 1-25]
- 13. As per claim 6, Hardwick-Yu disclose assigning a first identifier to a first interface and a

Application Number: 08/994836

Art Unit: 2152

second interface at the first device; and identifying a path between the first device to the second device with the first identifier [Hardwick col 6 line 60-col 7 line 15]

- 14. As per claims 7-9 Hardwick-Yu disclose assigning the first identifier to the first interface and the second interface comprises assigning a media access control (MAC) address [Hardwick col 48 line 46]; Internet Protocol (IP) address [Yu col 14 line 62]; a group identifier as a design choice of user groups [Hardwick col 5 lines 47-65, col 9 lines 5-10]
- 15. As per claim 10, Hardwick-Yu disclose the first device comprises a load balancing unit that allocates data to be transmitted on the first interface and the second interface such that data traffic on the first interface and the second interface is approximately the same as an inherent feature of selecting the physical interface or path to send a message [Hardwick col 7 lines 1-25]
- 16. As per claim 11, Hardwick-Yu disclose allocating data to be transmitted on the first interface and the second interface, transmitting the data on the first interface when the output queue of the second interface is fuller than the output queue of the first interface and when previous data sent on the first interface is no longer on the first interface; and transmitting the data on the second interface when the output queue of the first interface is fuller than the output queue of the second interface and when previous data sent on the second interface is not longer on the second interface as the inherent feature of selecting the physical interface or path to send a message [Hardwick col 7 lines 1-25]
- 17. As per claim 12, Hardwick-Yu disclose selecting one of the first interface and the second interface to send a packet of data based on address information in the packet of data [Hardwick col 6 line 38-col 11 lines 63]
- 18. As per claims 15-18 contain the similar limitations set forth in method claims 2-5

Art Unit: 2152

respectively. Therefore, claims 15-18 are rejected for the same rationale set forth claim 2-5.

19. As per claims 25-31 contain the similar limitations set forth in method claims 7-10,22,23

4

Therefore, claims 25-31 are rejected for the same rationale set forth claims 7-10,22,23

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thong Vu, whose telephone number is (703)-305-4643.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00AM- 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Mark Rinehart*, can be reached at (703) 305-4815.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

After Final (703) 746-7238

Official: (703) 746-7239

Non-Official (703) 746-7240

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11,2121 Crystal Drive,

MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2104

Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Thong Vu

Sept 25, 2001